D vitamīns un hipertensija, kardiovaskulārie notikumi, dislipidēmija – kas jauns 2021. gadā?

Asoc. prof. Kārlis Trušinskis Latvijas Kardioloģijas centrs, Paula Stradiņa Klīniskā universitātes slimnīca Rīgas Stradiņa universitāte



φ ιτφιτι ψι τ

Asinsspiediena kontrole pasaulē

Long-term and recent trends in hypertension awareness, treatment, and control in 12 high-income countries: an analysis of 123 nationally representative surveys

NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC)*



Figure 6: Trends in hypertension control, by country, sex, and age group See appendix (pp 29–41) for country-by-country results. Error bars indicate 95% CIs.

www.thelancet.com Vol 394 August 24, 2019

Princips asinsspiediena samazināšanai



Target Range below 140mmHg, aiming for 130mmHg

Hipertensijas diagnostika: ambulatorā asinsspiediena monitorēšana



ESC/ESH GUIDELINES

2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension

The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH)



Changes in recommendations	
2013	2018
Diagnosis	Diagnosis
Office BP is recommended for screening and diagnosis of hypertension.	 It is recommended to base the diagnosis of hypertension on: Repeated office BP measurements; or Out-of-office BP measurement with ABPM and/or HBPM if logistically and economically feasible.

Prognostic Value of Reverse Dipper Blood Pressure Pattern in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients not Undergoing Dialysis: Prospective Cohort Study

Cheng Wang^{1,*}, Zengchun Ye^{1,*}, Yan Li^{2,*}, Jun Zhang¹, Qunzi Zhang¹, Xinxin Ma¹, Hui Peng¹ & Tanqi Lou¹





IS. Tatarnikova¹, LA. Shpagina², ON. Gerasimenko²

(1) Institute of Biological Chemistry and Fundamental Medicine, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

(2) Novosibirsk State Medical University, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

Journal of Steroid Biochemistry & Molecular Biology 175 (2018) 29-43

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Steroid Biochemistry & Molecular Biology



CrossMark

Review

Vitamin D and cardiovascular diseases: Causality

Sunil J. Wimalawansa*

Professor of Medicine, Endocrinology & Nutrition, Cardio Metabolic Institute, NJ, USA



Fig. 1. Metabolic activation of vitamin D.

The generation of pre-vitamin D in the skin from the precursor 7-dehydrocholesterol, following skin exposure to UVB is illustrated. Pre-vitamin D together with the vitamin D absorbed via the gastrointestinal tract are transported to the liver, where 25-hydroxylase enzyme (CYP24A1) converts it to 25(OH)D, the body's storage form of vitamin D. 1 α -hydroxylase enzyme (CYP27B1) is predominantly located in renal tubules (also present in other cells, such as in macrophage), converts 25(OH)D into its active hormonal form, 1,25(OH)D. Any excess vitamin D is converted to an inactive metabolite through 24-hydroxylation.







The Impact of Vitamin D in the Treatment of **Essential Hypertension**

Christian Legarth¹, Daniela Grimm^{1,*}, Markus Wehland², Johann Bauer³ and Marcus Krüger²

Denmark; chr_brod@hotmail.com



Figure 3. cAMP-PKA pathway. (a) Signalling in a juxtaglomerular cell in absence of 1,25(OH)₂-vitamin D₃; (b) Signalling in presence of 1,25(OH)₂-vitamin D₃. cAMP: cyclic adenosine monophosphate, CBP: CREB-binding protein, CRE: cAMP-dependent response element, CREB: cAMP response element-binding protein, Gα_S: G_S-protein alpha subunit, P: phosphate, PKA: protein kinase A, Pol II: RNA polymerase II, VDR: vitamin D receptor. The "+" stands for "JG in presence of".



 $AP_{\&}T$ Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics, WILEY



Severe COVID-19 disease

Journal Pre-proof

Syndrome (ARDS) in patients with Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 infections

Jose Manuel Quesada-Gomez, Marta Entrenas Castillo, Roger Bouillon



DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2007.02360.x

Original Article: Clinical Care

Vitamin D improves endothelial function in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus and low vitamin D levels

J. A. Sugden, J. I. Davies, M. D. Witham*, A. D. Morrist and A. D. Struthers

Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Division of Medicine and Therapeutics, *Section of Ageing and Health, Division of Medicine and Therapeutics and +Division of Medicine and Therapeutics, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK

Accepted 1 October 2007

Table 2 Change from baseline of parameters during treatment with vitamin D or placebo, n = 34

Parameter Change with vitamin D		Change with placebo	P (between groups)
Vitamin D homeostasis			
Vitamin D (nmol/l)	22.9 ± 16.6	7.6 ± 12.5	0.02
Calcium (mmol/l)	0.01 ± 0.08	-0.04 ± 0.10	0.07
Phosphate (mmol/l)	0.05 ± 0.14	-0.04 ± 0.14	0.08
PTH (pmol/l)	-0.14 ± 0.99	-0.18 ± 0.94	0.89
Glycaemic control			
$HbA_{1c}(\%)$	0.01 ± 0.60	-0.05 ± 0.39	0.74
HOMA (IS)	-39.7 ± 79.3	-25.6 ± 139.0	0.72
Endothelial function			
FMD response to hyperaemia (%)	2.35 ± 3.12	0.06 ± 3.39	0.048
FMD flow (%)	1.17 ± 26.68	3.55 ± 21.55	0.78
FMD response to GTN (%)	-1.33 ± 2.72	-0.98 ± 5.65	0.82
Blood pressure			
Systolic BP (mmHg)	-7.3 ± 11.8	6.6 ± 9.7	0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	-2.2 ± 8.6	2.3 ± 5.7	0.08
Renin–angiotensin levels			
Renin (ng/ml)	1.85 ± 0.48	-0.79 ± 2.04	0.06
Angiotensin II (pg/ml)	-6.3 ± 19.0	6.8 ± 30.0	0.14

Mean \pm sd.

BP, blood pressure; FMD, flow-mediated vasodilation; GTN, glyceryl trinitrate; HbA_{1c}, glycated haemoglobin; HOMA, homeostatic model assessment; IS, insulin sensitivity; PTH, parathyroid hormone; ^{SD}, standard deviation.



Eur J Epidemiol (2013) 28:205-221

2005–2012

Fig. 2 Relative risk for incident hypertension in individuals in the <i>top</i> compared to the <i>bottom</i>	Author, Year (Reference)	No. of Cases	Degree Adjusti	e of nent			RR (95% CI)
third of <i>baseline</i> vitamin D status in Western populations, 2005–2012. The summary estimate presented was calculated using a random effects model; using a fixed effects model was 0.68 (0.60–0.77) for blood 25(OH)D and 0.99 (0.96–1.02) for dietary vitamin D. Degree of adjustment: +, adjusted for age	Blood 25(OH)D Griffin, 2010 [12] Forman, 2007 [11] Forman, 2007 [11] Jorde, 2010 [28] Forman, 2008 [10] Margolis, 2012 [13] Anderson, 2010 [27] Total	104 133 274 331 742 891 2,490 4,965	+++ +++ +++ ++++ ++++ +++	<			$\begin{array}{l} 0.88 \ (0.39, \ 2.01) \\ 0.23 \ (0.05, \ 1.00) \\ 0.45 \ (0.21, \ 0.96) \\ 0.89 \ (0.64, \ 1.22) \\ 0.65 \ (0.46, \ 0.91) \\ 0.88 \ (0.65, \ 1.20) \\ 0.61 \ (0.51, \ 0.71) \\ 0.70 \ (0.58, \ 0.86) \end{array}$
for + and major hypertension risk factors; +++, adjusted for ++ and parathormone/diet/ Calcium/renal function/factors associated with sun exposure (e.g. season of blood draw, location, latitude); <i>CI</i> confidence interval (<i>bars</i>), <i>RR</i> relative risk	Dietary vit D Forman, 2005 [26] Wang, 2008 [29] Forman, 2005 [26] Forman, 2005 [26] Total	7,372 8,710 8,834 27,084 52,000	+++ +++ +++ +++			 	1.10 (0.99, 1.22) 0.96 (0.91, 1.02) 1.02 (0.94, 1.11) 0.98 (0.94, 1.03) 1.00 (0.95, 1.05)
				0.1	0.4	1	2.2

Relative risk (95% CI)

Fig. 3 Relative risk for hypertension per 10 ng/mL	Author, Year (Ref)	Participants	Cases		RR (95% CI)
increment in 25(OH) D levels in studies with relevant data in				1	
Western populations, 2005–2012. CI confidence	Forman, 2007 [11]	613	133		0.77 (0.47, 1.27)
interval (<i>bars</i>), <i>RR</i> relative risk, <i>Ref</i> Reference	Forman, 2007 [11]	1,198	274		0.90 (0.70, 1.17)
	Jorde, 2010 [28]	1,268	331		0.94 (0.77, 1.13)
	Forman, 2007 [11]	1,484	742		0.82 (0.69, 0.98)
	Margolis, 2011 [13]	2,153	891		0.91 (0.77, 1.07)
	Overall	6,716	2,371		0.88 (0.81, 0.97)
			.45	.75	1 1.5

Relative risk (95% CI)

Effect of Cholecalciferol Supplementation During Winter Months in Patients With Hypertension: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial 5 mēn terapija

Thomas Larsen¹, Frank H. Mose¹, Jesper N. Bech¹, Annebirthe Bo Hansen² and Erling B. Pedersen¹

METHODS

We investigated the effect of 75 µg (3,000 IU) cholecalciferol per day in a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study in 130 hypertensive patients residing in Denmark (56° N). Ambulatory BP (24-h BP) and arterial stiffness were measured before and after 20 weeks of treatment, that took place between October and March.

Central SBP (mm Hg) ^b			
Placebo (<i>n</i> = 55)	132 ± 13	133 ± 15	0.007
D3 (<i>n</i> = 52)	135 ± 16	$130 \pm 18^*$	0.007
Central DBP (mm Hg) ^b			
Placebo ($n = 55$)	82±8	81±8	0.15
D3 (<i>n</i> = 52)	83±8	$80 \pm 9^*$	0.15
Office SBP (mm Hg) ^b			
Placebo (<i>n</i> = 55)	142 ± 13	143 ± 15	0.02
D3 (<i>n</i> = 52)	144 ± 16	$139 \pm 18^*$	0.02
Office DBP (mm Hg) ^b			
Placebo (<i>n</i> = 55)	81±8	80 ± 7	0.10
D3 (n = 52)	81±11	$79 \pm 9^*$	0.18

CONCLUSIONS

Cholecalciferol supplementation, by a dose that effectively increased vitamin D levels, did not reduce 24-h BP, although central systolic BP decreased significantly. In a post-hoc subgroup analysis of 92 subjects with baseline p-25(OH)D levels <32 ng/ml, significant decreases in 24-h systolic and diastolic BP occurred during cholecalciferol supplementation.



Figure 2 | Mean plasma concentrations of 25-hydroxy-vitamin D (p-25(OH)D) with s.e. From "5 weeks" onward p-25(OH)D was significantly improved (P < 0.001), whereas p-25(OH)D dropped significantly in the placebo group (P < 0.001). Light gray area represents vitamin D insufficiency (p-25(OH)D <32 ng/ml). Dark gray area represents vitamin D deficiency (p25(OH)D <20 ng/ml).



Figure 3 | Ambulatory blood pressure (BP) and heart rate in patients with plasma concentrations of 25–hydroxy–vitamin D <80 nmol/l at baseline (*n* = 92). Mean values and s.e.m. after treatment with cholecalciferol and placebo. bpm, beats per minute; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Augsta riska aterosklerotiskas pangas histomorfoloģija



Narula et al. Braunwald's CT Atlas 2008

Comprehensive plaque assessment by coronary CT angiography

Pál Maurovich-Horvat, Maros Ferencik, Szilard Voros, Béla Merkely and Udo Hoffmann





Role of Vitamin D in Atherosclerosis

Eva Kassi, MD, PhD; Christos Adamopoulos, BSc, MSc; Efthimia K. Basdra, DDS, PhD; Athanasios G. Papavassiliou, MD, PhD

7-Dehydrocholesterol Previtamin D₁ UV light Heat Vitamin D₂ Vitamin D₂ CH, Skin Vitamin D₃ EC Vitamin D 🖛 Diet VSMC Activation Proliferation Migration Migration 25-OHase 1,25 (OH)2 D - 1-OHase 1.0Hase 1,25 (OH)2 D Elastogenesis Proliferation Liver Viability Apoptosis 25 (OH) D Calcification 🔶 TGs 🔗 🔶 Th1 IL-6 HDL Proatherogenic 0.0 1-OHase 0 IL-12 RAAS O IFN Kidney LDL Th₂ L-10 0 0 Antiatherogenic 00 1,25 (OH)2 D 0 80 IL-5 Th17 Osteocalcin Bone 00 IL-17 Insulin Pancreas Insulin IR. Glucose M2 M1 GLUT4 sensitivity Adioose tissue Muscle tissue Proatherogenic Antiatherogenic Muscle cell Adipocyte

Contemporary Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine

Role of Vitamin D in Atherosclerosis

Eva Kassi, MD, PhD; Christos Adamopoulos, BSc, MSc; Efthimia K. Basdra, DDS, PhD; Athanasios G. Papavassiliou, MD, PhD

Vitamin D deficiency affects almost 50% of the population worldwide. It has been suggested that this pandemic might contribute to the worldwide increased prevalence of CVD.^{9–11}

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Vitamin D Supplements and Prevention of Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease

JoAnn E. Manson, M.D., Dr.P.H., Nancy R. Cook, Sc.D., I-Min Lee, M.B., B.S., Sc.D., William Christen, Sc.D., Shari S. Bassuk, Sc.D., Samia Mora, M.D., M.H.S., Heike Gibson, Ph.D., David Gordon, M.A.T., Trisha Copeland, M.S., R.D., Denise D'Agostino, B.S., Georgina Friedenberg, M.P.H., Claire Ridge, M.P.H., Vadim Bubes, Ph.D., Edward L. Giovannucci, M.D., Sc.D., Walter C. Willett, M.D., Dr.P.H., and Julie E. Buring, Sc.D., for the VITAL Research Group*



Figure 2. Cumulative Incidence Rates of Invasive Cancer of Any Type and Major Cardiovascular Events, According to Year of Follow-up, in the Vitamin D Group and Placebo Group.

Analyses were from Cox regression models that were controlled for age, sex, and randomization group in the n-3 fatty acid portion of the trial (intention-to-treat analyses). The insets show the same data on an enlarged y axis.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Vitamin D Supplements and Prevention of Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease

JoAnn E. Manson, M.D., Dr.P.H., Nancy R. Cook, Sc.D., I-Min Lee, M.B., B.S., Sc.D.,
William Christen, Sc.D., Shari S. Bassuk, Sc.D., Samia Mora, M.D., M.H.S.,
Heike Gibson, Ph.D., David Gordon, M.A.T., Trisha Copeland, M.S., R.D.,
Denise D'Agostino, B.S., Georgina Friedenberg, M.P.H., Claire Ridge, M.P.H.,
Vadim Bubes, Ph.D., Edward L. Giovannucci, M.D., Sc.D., Walter C. Willett, M.D., Dr.P.H.,
and Julie E. Buring, Sc.D., for the VITAL Research Group*

Analyses excluding the first 2 yr of follow-up

Invasive cancer of any type	490	522	0.94 (0.83–1.06)
Death from cancer	112	149	0.75 (0.59–0.96)
Major cardiovascular event	274	296	0.93 (0.79–1.09)
Death from any cause	368	384	0.96 (0.84–1.11)

TRIAL DESIGN AND OVERSIGHT

We conducted this randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, with a two-by-two factorial design, to examine the benefits and risks of vitamin D_3 (cholecalciferol) at a dose of 2000 IU per day and marine n–3 fatty acids at a dose of 1 g per day in the primary prevention of cancer and cardiovascular disease among 25,871 men who were 50 years of age or older and women who were 55 years of age or older. The trial protocol has been described elsewhere^{4,12} and is available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2015 February ; 69(2): 193-197. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2014.209.

The effect of a single, large bolus of vitamin D in healthy adults over the winter and following year: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial

MD Kearns¹, JNG Binongo², D Watson², JA Alvarez¹, D Lodin¹, TR Ziegler¹, and V Tangpricha^{1,3}

¹Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Lipids, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

²Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA, USA

³Atlanta Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Section of Endocrinology, Atlanta, GA, USA.

250 000 DV holekalciferols



Participant demographics

Baseline characteristics	Study	arm
	Vitamin D (n = 14)	Placebo (n = 14)
Age, year, mean (s.d.)	28.2 (6.7)	26.5 (5.2)
Female, n (%)	12 (86)	10 (71)
White, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (64)	9 (64)
Weight, kg, mean (s.d.)	66.6 (9.3)	65.5 (10.2)
Height, m, mean (s.d.)	1.7 (0.1)	1.7 (0.1)
BMI, mean (s.d.)	23.7 (2.9)	22.3 (2.2)
Fitzpatrick scale, n		
Type 2	5	6
Type 3	4	4
Type 4	2	2
Serum calcium level, mg/dl, mean (s.d.)	9.3 (0.3)	9.2 (0.3)
Hours outdoors, week, mean (s.d.)	9.0 (5.2)	7.0 (5.4)
Current vitamin D supplementation, n	4	1

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A phase IV, two-armed, randomized, cross-over study comparing compliance with once-a-month administration of vitamin D3 to compliance with daily administration of a fixed-dose combination of vitamin D3 and calcium during two 6-month periods

O. Bruyère¹ • R. Deroisy² • N. Dardenne¹ • E. Cavalier³ • M. Coffiner⁴ • S. Da Silva⁴ • S. De Niet⁴ • J.-Y. Reginster¹

ledzert ampulu vai sakožļāt tableti?

100 pacienti 6 mēn 25 000 DV 1xmēn vs 800 DV tabletes katru dienu

Table 2Association between chosen reasons of preference and chosentreatment

		VD group	VDCa group	Fisher exact
		N (%)	N (%)	p
Reason	Taste Ease of use	2 (3.0) 17 (34.0)	3 (18.8) 10 (62.4)	0.030
	Frequency of use	21 (42.0)	3 (18.8)	
	No adverse events	7 (14.0)	0 (0.0)	
	Treatment reputation	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
	Other	3 (6.0)	0 (0.)	
	Total	50 (76.5)	16 (23.5)	

25-Hydroxyvitamin D and Risk of Myocardial Infarction in Men

A Prospective Study

Edward Giovannucci, MD, ScD; Yan Liu, MS; Bruce W. Hollis, MD, PhD; Eric B. Rimm, ScD

Table 3. Estimated RRs of MI by Level of 25(OH)D at Baseline During 10 Years of Follow-up

		Plasma 25(0	H)D, ng/mL		<i>D</i> Voluo
Variable	≤15.0	15.1-22.5	22.6-29.9	≥30.0	(Trend)
Cases/controls, No. RR (95% CI)	63/87	156/307	165/299	70/207	NA
Matching variables	2.42 (1.53-3.84)	1.65 (1.15-2.37)	1.72 (1.22-2.42)	1 [Reference]	<.001
MV1 ^a	2.01 (1.22-3.30)	1.45 (0.99-2.12)	1.56 (1.09-2.22)	1 [Reference]	.02
MV2 ^b	2.09 (1.24-3.54)	1.43 (0.96-2.13)	1.60 (1.10-2.32)	1 [Reference]	.02

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; MI, myocardial infarction; MV, multivariate; NA, not applicable; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; RR, relative risk. SI conversion factor: To convert 25(OH)D to nanomoles per liter, multiply by 2.496.

^aMV1: matching variables (age, month and year of blood collection, and smoking status) and family history of MI before the age of 60 years, history of diabetes mellitus, history of hypertension, alcohol intake, body mass index, physical activity, region, race, multivitamin use, marine ω-3 intake, and fasting status. ^bMV2: all the variables in MV1 and high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglyceride levels.

STATIN-D Study: Comparison of the Influences of Rosuvastatin and Fluvastatin Treatment on the Levels of 25 Hydroxyvitamin D

Derun Taner Ertugrul,¹ Bunyamin Yavuz,² Hicran Cil,¹ Naim Ata,¹ Kadir Okhan Akin,³ Metin Kucukazman,¹ Ahmet Arif Yalcin,² Kursad Dal,¹ Burcu Balam Yavuz⁴ & Emre Tutal¹

1 Department of Internal Medicine, Kecioren Teaching and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{2}}$ Department of Cardiology, Kecioren Teaching and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

3 Department of Biochemistry, Kecioren Teaching and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

4 Department of Internal Medicine, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

 Table 3
 Bone parameters before and after rosuvastatin and fluvastatin treatment

8 nedēļas

	Rosuva	astatin 10 mg (n $=$ 69)		Fluvastat	in 80 mg XL (n = 65)		*P
	Baseline	After treatment	**P	Baseline	After treatment	**P	
25-OHvitD (ng/mL)	11.8 (3.7–30.0)	35.2 (4.0–101.0)	<0.001	9.6 (4.0–67.0)	10.2 (3.9–83.0)	0.557	<0.001
1,25 OHvitD (pg/mL)	18.3 (5.6–145.0)	24.0(10.5–51.0)	0.008	19.4 (2.8–43.0)	20.7 (6.4–56.4)	0.241	0.144
BALP (U/L)	18.4 (2.6–214.0)	9.6 (0.9–21.6)	<0.001	17.0 (2.99–258.0)	12.8 (0.7–167.0)	0.004	0.368
OCL (ng/mL)	4.3 (1.0–35.0)	4.5 (1.0-24.7)	0.927	4.8 (1.0-32.0)	4.0 (1.2–35)	0.178	0.123
Ca (mg/dL)	9.4 ± 0.6	9.4 ± 0.5	0.774	9.6 ± 0.5	9.4 ± 1.0	0.041	0.056
P (mg/dL)	3.1 ± 0.7	3.1 ± 0.5	0.768	3.1 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.6	0.181	0.222

25-OHvitD: 25 Hydroxyvitamin D; 1,25 OHvitD: 1,25-hydroxyvitamin D.

BALP, bone alkaline phosphatase; OCL, osteocalcin; P, phosphorus; Ca, calcium.

**P*: *P* value between rosuvastatin and fluvastatin.

**P: P value between baseline and after treatment.

Continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm SD. Variables with skew distribution are expressed as median (minimum–maximum), and categorical variables are expressed as percentage.

Effects of *Atorvastatin* on Vitamin D Levels in Patients With Acute Ischemic Heart Disease

José L. Pérez-Castrillón, MD^a,*, Gemma Vega, MD^a, Laura Abad, MD^a, Alberto Sanz, MD^b, José Chaves, MD^c, Gonzalo Hernandez, MD^c, and Antonio Dueñas, MD^a

Table 1Effect of atorvastatin on vitamin D and other laboratory data

Variable	Baseline	12 mos	р
			Value
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	182 ± 48	161 ± 33	0.0001
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	39 ± 12	49 ± 11	0.0001
LDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	114 ± 41	90 ± 31	0.0001
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	152 ± 91	117 ± 66	0.003
Calcium (mg/dl)	9.5 ± 0.6	9.6 ± 0.5	NS
Phosphorus (mg/dl)	3.6 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 0.6	NS
25-Hydroxycholecalciferol (nmol/L)	41 ± 19	47 ± 19	0.003

LDL = low-density lipoprotein.



Figure 1. Relation between baseline and final levels of total cholesterol and 25-hydroxycholescalciferol.

Accepted Manuscript

Effects of vitamin D supplementation on adherence and persistence with long-term statin therapy: Secondary analysis from the randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled ViDA study

Zhenqiang Wu, Carlos A. Camargo, Jr., Kay-Tee Khaw, Debbie Waayer, Carlene M.M. Lawes, Les Toop, Robert Scragg





2018



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Clinical & Translational Endocrinology



CrossMark

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcte

Original research

Impact of vitamin D status on statin-induced myopathy

Krista D. Riche Pharm.D. ^{a,b}, Justin Arnall Pharm.D. ^c, Kristin Rieser Pharm.D. ^a, Honey E. East M.D. ^d, Daniel M. Riche Pharm.D., FCCP, BCPS, CDE, ASH-CHC, CLS ^{a,e,*}

^a The University of Mississippi, School of Pharmacy, Jackson, MS, United States

^b St. Dominic Hospital, Jackson, MS, United States

^c Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC, United States

^d Premier Medical Group, Jackson, MS, United States

^e The University of Mississippi School of Medicine, Jackson, MS, United States



Fig. 1. Rate of statin-induced myopathy by vitamin D Status before vitamin D supplementation







2019 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: *lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk*

Recommendations for treatment goals for low-density lipoprotein cholesterol		
Recommendations	C lass ^a	Level ^b
In secondary prevention for patients at very-high risk, ^c an LDL-C reduction of ≥50% from baseline ^d and an LDL-C goal of <1.4 mmol/L (<55 mg/dL) are recommended. ^{33–35,119,120}	1	Α

Ļoti augsta riska pacientiem ZBLH jāsamazina par >50% un mērķis ir <1.4 mmol/l

For patients with ASCVD who experience a second vascular event within 2 years (not necessarily of the same type as the first event) while taking maximally tolerated statin-based therapy, an LDL-C goal of <1.0 mmol/L (<40 mg/dL) may be considered. ^{119,120}	IIb	В
In patients at high risk, ^c an LDL-C reduction of ≥50% from baseline ^d and an LDL-C goal of <1.8 mmol/L (<70 mg/dL) are recommended. ^{34,35}	I.	А

Ja atkārtojas notikums, apsvērt ZBLH mērķi <1.0 mmol/l







2019 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk

Recommendations for pharmacological low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering					
Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b			
It is recommended that a high-intensity statin is prescribed up to the highest tolerated dose to reach the goals set for the specific level of risk. ^{32,34,38}	1	A			
If the goals ^c are not achieved with the maximum tolerated dose of a statin, combination with ezetimibe is recommended. ³³	1	В			
For primary prevention patients at very-high risk, but without FH, if the LDL-C goal is not achieved on a maximum toler- ated dose of a statin and ezetimibe, a combination with a PCSK9 inhibitor may be considered.	ШЬ	с			
For secondary prevention, patients at very-high risk not achieving their goal ^c on a maximum tolerated dose of a statin and ezetimibe, a combination with a PCSK9 inhibitor is recommended. ^{119,120}	1	Α			

Statīns augstākajā rekomendētajā/tolerētajā devā





Effect of the inhibition of a cholesterol membrane transporter on vitamin D absorption:

a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled study



Mariana Costa Silva, Gustavo Adolpho Moreira Faulhauber, Érica Neves Leite, Kamila Ramborger Goulart, Jorge Mario Ahumada Ramirez, Fernanda Mariani Cocolichio, Tania Weber Furlanetto

Table 2. Biochemical responses 14 days after 50,000 IU oral vitamin D3

Measure	Ezetimibe	Placebo	р
25OHD, ng/mL	24.67 ± 5.24	24.49 ± 6.16	0.391
Δ25OHD, ng/mL	8.75 ± 3.74	10.02 ± 3.84	0.26
Calcium, mg/dL	9.32 ± 0.45	9.41 ± 0.39	0.475
Albumin, g/dL	4.51 ± 0.27	4.61 ± 0.33	0.356
PTH, pg/mL	33.51 ± 14.44	34.90 ± 12.85	0.729

Endocrine Abstracts (2015)

17th European Congress of Endocrinology

